

PILOT'S TALK

Lesson 6. Agree and disagree

Level A - Ryanair fuel Mayday

После публикации отчёта AAIB командир встречается в офисе с пилотом-инструктором. Они проверяют выводы для разбора происшествия, например: раз самолёт долетел до Manchester, ситуация с топливом была нормальной. Командир спокойно возражает: долететь до аэродрома и прилететь туда с финальным резервом - разные вещи.

В этом уроке

Блок	Тема	Главное
1	Обозначить несогласие	I don't agree / I'm not sure
2	Сказать, с какой мыслью не согласен	I don't think + утверждение
3	Привести контраргумент	факт; but / because / so
4	Попросить обоснование	Why do you think...? / What is the reason for...?
5	Всё вместе	вывод → возражение → довод → признание правоты

Как не согласиться

1A. В разговоре



Let's check one conclusion. You had enough fuel to reach Manchester, so the fuel situation was normal?



I don't agree with that assessment. We didn't have enough to arrive with the final reserve.



What was the difference?



We needed about 2,800 kilograms for that. We had only about 2,100.

1B. Правило

I don't agree. - Я не согласен / не согласна.

Agree - обычный глагол. Для отрицания используем **do not** → **don't**:

Утверждение	Отрицание
I agree.	I don't agree.
We agree.	We don't agree.

I'm agree - неправильное построение. Перед agree не нужен am.

Можно уточнить, с чем именно не согласен:

I don't agree with that assessment. - Я не согласен с этой оценкой.

1C. Менее категоричный ответ



Another go-around at Manchester was possible.



I'm not sure about that.

I'm not sure. - Я не уверен.

I'm not sure we had enough fuel for another go-around. - Я не уверен, что у нас хватало топлива ещё на один уход на второй круг.

С **sure** нужен be: **I am not sure** → **I'm not sure.**

Реплика	Насколько прямо отвечаем
I don't agree.	Прямо обозначаем несогласие.
I'm not sure about that.	Показываем сомнение, не отвергая мысль окончательно.

1D. Обрати внимание: возражаем против мысли

I'm sorry, but I don't agree with that assessment.

Извини, но я не согласен с этой оценкой.

I'm sorry, but... может сделать начало мягче. Но и **I don't agree** может звучать корректно: важны спокойный тон и пояснение, с чем именно не согласен.

С какой мыслью я не согласен: I don't think

2A. В разговоре



What exactly do you disagree with?



I don't think we had a normal fuel reserve.



But you landed safely.



Yes, with only 363 kilograms.

2B. Правило

I don't think + утверждение. - Я не думаю, что...

После **I don't think** ставим обычное предложение: **кто или что + глагол + остальные детали.**

Начало	Мысль, с которой не согласны
I don't think	we had a normal fuel reserve.
I don't think	the fuel situation was normal.
I don't think	another go-around was possible.

2C. Схема: время мнения и время факта

I + don't think + we + had + a normal fuel reserve.

Think стоит в настоящем: это моё мнение сейчас. Время после think выбираем по времени факта: речь о прошлом полёте, поэтому **had, was**.

I don't think we had a normal reserve. - Обычный способ выразить такую мысль.

I think we didn't have a normal reserve. - Тоже возможно, но звучит реже.

Отрицание обычно ставят при **think**, а не во второй части.

2D. Обрати внимание: I don't agree и I don't think

I don't agree. - Отвечаем на уже высказанную мысль.

I don't think we had a normal reserve. - Сразу называем собственную позицию.

Одна фраза обозначает несогласие, другая раскрывает его содержание.

Чем подкрепить возражение

3A. В разговоре



You took extra fuel in Pisa.



Yes, but we went around three times. After Edinburgh, we had only about 2,100 kilograms.

3B. Правило

Контраргумент - факт или причина, которые показывают слабое место в доводе собеседника.

Факт **you took extra fuel** верный, и командир его не отрицает. Он показывает, почему этот факт не доказывает, что запас был нормальным: после вылета были три ухода на второй круг, а после Edinburgh осталось около 2,100 kg.

3C. But / because / so

Связка	Какую связь показывает	Пример
but - но	Противопоставление.	We took extra fuel, but we went around three times.
because - потому что	Основание оценки.	I don't think we had a normal reserve because we had only about 2,100 kilograms.
so - поэтому	Вывод из сказанного.	We needed about 2,800 kilograms, but we had only about 2,100, so we didn't have enough to arrive with the final reserve.

После **because** и **so** в этих примерах идёт предложение со своим подлежащим и глаголом: **we had...., we didn't have....**

3D. Сопоставим

I don't agree because we didn't have a normal fuel reserve.

Я не согласен, потому что у нас не было нормального резерва топлива.

We didn't have a normal fuel reserve, so I don't agree with that assessment.

У нас не было нормального резерва топлива, поэтому я не согласен с этой оценкой.

Because вводит причину. **So** - следствие или вывод. Меняем порядок частей, сохраняя связь между ними.

Почему ты так считаешь?

4A. В разговоре



Some pilots think the fuel reserve was normal.



Why do they think so?



You reached Manchester and landed safely.



We did. But we landed with only 363 kilograms.

4B. Правило

Why do you think so? - Почему ты так считаешь?

Why do they think so? - Почему они так считают? Тот же вопрос о мнении других людей.

Слово **so** заменяет уже высказанную мысль. Если предмет вопроса неясен, называем его полностью:

Why do you think the fuel reserve was normal?

Почему ты считаешь, что резерв топлива был нормальным?

4C. Схема

Why + do you think + утверждение?

Вопрос к собеседнику	Содержание мысли
Why do you think	the fuel reserve was normal?
Why do you think	another go-around was possible?

После **think** - порядок слов утверждения. Не переставляем глагол перед подлежащим:

Why do you think the fuel reserve was normal? [OK]

Why do you think was the fuel reserve normal? [X]

Сравним с прямым вопросом:

Was the fuel reserve normal? - Резерв топлива был нормальным?

Why do you think the fuel reserve was normal? - Почему ты считаешь, что резерв был нормальным?

4D. Другой способ спросить об основании

What is the reason for that assessment? - На чём основана эта оценка?

What + is + the reason for + предмет вопроса?

Такой вопрос звучит более официально. В коротком разговоре обычно достаточно **Why do you think so?**

Всё вместе: спорим об оценке, а не друг с другом



You reached Manchester. So the fuel was OK?



Enough to get there, yes. But I don't think we had a normal reserve.



Why do you think so?



We needed about 2,800 kilograms to arrive with the final reserve. We had only about 2,100.



You took extra fuel before departure.



Yes, but we went around three times. So the fuel situation wasn't normal.



You're right. It wasn't normal.

Enough to get there, yes. But... - соглашаемся с частью мысли и называем границу согласия.

You're right. - признаём правоту собеседника.

Нужно сказать	Подходящая модель
Я не согласен с этой мыслью	I don't agree with that assessment.
Не готов уверенно согласиться	I'm not sure about that.
С какой оценкой не согласен	I don't think + утверждение.
С чем согласен, а с чем нет	..., yes. But...
Какой факт ограничивает довод	...but + факт.
Почему возражаю	...because + причина.
Какой вывод делаю	...so + вывод.
Почему собеседник так считает	Why do you think so?
На чём основана конкретная мысль	Why do you think + утверждение?
Признаю правоту собеседника	You're right.

Practice

Говори от лица командира или инструктора - пропуск стоит в реплике того, кто говорит. В каждом пункте заполни пропуск, используя слова под диалогом. Остальная часть реплики уже дана.

Короткие разговоры по темам. Каждое задание - отдельный разговор.

Опора: неправильные глаголы в упражнениях

Начальная форма	Past Simple
to be	was / were
to do	did
to go around	went around
to have	had
to say	said

Карта упражнений

Задание	Тема	Блок
1.1	I don't agree / I'm not sure	1
1.2	I don't think + утверждение	2
2.1	Контраргумент: Yes, but...	3
2.2	Контраргумент: because / so	3
3.1	Why do you think...?	4
3.2	Основание, согласие, признание правоты	4-5

1.1. Не согласиться: I don't agree / I'm not sure

1.



Let's check this point. The extra fuel was enough for everything?



_____ It wasn't enough for three go-arounds and a diversion.

to do / that / to agree / with / not / I

2.



Manchester refused you because it was full?



_____ According to the AAIB, Manchester had space.

not / to do / to agree / I / that conclusion / with

3.



The strong wind at Edinburgh was a surprise for you?



_____ We knew about the storm.

it / not / to be / a surprise / sure / I / to be

4.



The low-fuel warnings were a technical problem?



_____ The fuel was really low.

to agree / but / to do / not / to be / I / I / sorry

5.



You had a normal reserve at landing?



_____ We landed with only 363 kilograms.

to do / I / that idea / not / with / to agree

6.



A go-around at Manchester was OK with your fuel?



we / not / it / enough fuel / to be / for / sure / I / to have

7.



The crew made the decisions too slowly?



to be / to agree / but / not / I / I / sorry / to do / with / that

8.



The second approach at Prestwick was a mistake?



_____ Another 737 landed before our second approach.

it / sure / a mistake / to be / not / to be / I

1.2. С какой мыслью я не согласен: I don't think

1.



A third approach at Prestwick was possible?



_____ Company procedures didn't allow it.

it / to do / I / possible / to be / to think / not

2.



Edinburgh was a bad choice?



_____ We had enough fuel to reach it.

to be / to think / to do / not / it / I / a bad choice

3.



The landing was routine?



_____ I declared a fuel Mayday.

routine / to think / I / it / to do / to be / not

4.



The problem was only the weather?



the only problem / I / not / to be / the weather / to do / to think

5.



You had enough fuel to reach Manchester with the final reserve?



for / not / to think / to have / to do / that / we / enough fuel / I

6.



The Low Fuel Quantity checklist was necessary?



_____ We knew why the warnings appeared.

to be / it / to think / not / to do / necessary / I

7.



Manchester ATC had all the information?



to think / I / they / not / the right information / to have / to do

2.1. Контраргумент: Yes, but...

1.



You took 1,322 kg of extra fuel.



to allow / the landing-mass limits / not / to do / but / more / yes

2.



You reached Manchester.



but / the final reserve / we / yes / less than / with / to arrive

3.



The other 737 landed at Prestwick.



to report / airspeed / yes / a 25 kt loss / but / of / its crew

4.



Manchester was your alternate.



it couldn't accept us / but / to say / ATC / yes

5.



You had 2,164 kg after Edinburgh.



_____ for Manchester with the final reserve.

we / about / to need / but / 2,800 kg / yes

6.



You had enough fuel to reach Edinburgh.



there / but / strong / yes / the wind / to be

7.



You landed safely.



after / to show / but / 240 kg / engine shutdown / only / the FDR / yes

8.



So the fuel was OK after all?



Enough to land, yes. _____

a normal reserve / for / it / but / not / enough / to be

2.2. Контраргумент: because / so

1.



Why don't you agree?



a normal reserve / because / to do / we / not / to have

2.



Why wasn't the fuel situation normal?



three times / to go around / because / we

3.



What did the numbers show?



We needed about 2,800 kg, but we had only about 2,100, _____ to arrive with the final reserve.

to have / so / we / to do / enough / not

4.



Why did you declare a fuel Mayday?



_____ to arrive with the final reserve.

to do / enough fuel / we / because / to have / not

5.



Company procedures didn't allow a third approach at Prestwick.



to request / we / so / another airport

6.



What did the AAIB find about Manchester?



Manchester had space, _____

wrong / so / the refusal / to be

7.



So the extra fuel didn't help?



It helped, but _____

three go-arounds / on / we / it / to use

3.1. Попросить обоснование: Why do you think...?

1.



Some pilots think the extra fuel was too little.





They don't know about the landing-mass limits.

to do / so / to think / why / they

2.



I think the second approach was a risk.





Because of the 25 kt loss on short final.

to think / to be / why / a risk / to do / it / you

3.



I think Edinburgh was the right choice.





We had enough fuel to reach it.

you / to be / to think / it / why / to do / the right choice

4.



Some people think Manchester was full.





Because ATC said no.

to do / why / they / full / to think / Manchester / to be

5.



I don't think another approach at Edinburgh was safe.





It could use too much fuel.

safe / not / to do / you / why / to be / to think / it

6.



Some pilots believe the Mayday was late.





They don't know the figures.

to believe / why / they / to do / that

7.



I think the company procedures helped us.





They stopped a third approach at Prestwick.

to think / to do / to help / you / the procedures / why

8.



I think the refusal was the main problem.





The AAIB report says so.

it / to do / to be / to think / the main problem / why / you

3.2. Основание, согласие, признание правоты

1.



Some pilots think the crew had a normal reserve.



the reason / that conclusion / for / to be / what

2.



We landed with only 363 kilograms.



right / to be / to be / a normal reserve / not / it / you

3.



ATC said no, but the AAIB found that Manchester had space.



to be / wrong / right / to be / you / the refusal

4.



So the weather wasn't the only problem.



_____ The communication at the airport was the main problem.

with / that / to agree / I

5.



The extra fuel helped, but it wasn't enough for three go-arounds.



about / with / you / to agree / the fuel / I

6.



What is the reason for your Mayday decision?



_____ to arrive at Manchester with the final reserve.

enough fuel / to do / we / not / to have

7.



So reaching Manchester isn't the same as landing with the final reserve?



different things / they / to be / right

Answer key

These are model answers. Correct alternatives are possible.

1.1. Не согласиться: I don't agree / I'm not sure

1.



Let's check this point. The extra fuel was enough for everything?



I don't agree with that. It wasn't enough for three go-arounds and a diversion.

2.



Manchester refused you because it was full?



I don't agree with that conclusion. According to the AAIB, Manchester had space.

3.



The strong wind at Edinburgh was a surprise for you?



I'm not sure it was a surprise. We knew about the storm.

4.



The low-fuel warnings were a technical problem?



I'm sorry, but I don't agree. The fuel was really low.

5.



You had a normal reserve at landing?



I don't agree with that idea. We landed with only 363 kilograms.

6.



A go-around at Manchester was OK with your fuel?



I'm not sure we had enough fuel for it.

7.



The crew made the decisions too slowly?



I'm sorry, but I don't agree with that.

8.



The second approach at Prestwick was a mistake?



I'm not sure it was a mistake. Another 737 landed before our second approach.

1.2. С какой мыслью я не согласен: I don't think

1.



A third approach at Prestwick was possible?



I don't think it was possible. Company procedures didn't allow it.

2.



Edinburgh was a bad choice?



I don't think it was a bad choice. We had enough fuel to reach it.

3.



The landing was routine?



I don't think it was routine. I declared a fuel Mayday.

4.



The problem was only the weather?



I don't think the weather was the only problem.

5.



You had enough fuel to reach Manchester with the final reserve?



I don't think we had enough fuel for that.

6.



The Low Fuel Quantity checklist was necessary?



I don't think it was necessary. We knew why the warnings appeared.

7.



Manchester ATC had all the information?



I don't think they had the right information.

2.1. Контраргумент: Yes, but...

1.



You took 1,322 kg of extra fuel.



Yes, but the landing-mass limits didn't allow more.

2.



You reached Manchester.



Yes, but we arrived with less than the final reserve.

3.



The other 737 landed at Prestwick.



Yes, but its crew reported a 25 kt loss of airspeed.

4.



Manchester was your alternate.



Yes, but ATC said it couldn't accept us.

5.



You had 2,164 kg after Edinburgh.



Yes, but we needed about 2,800 kg for Manchester with the final reserve.

6.



You had enough fuel to reach Edinburgh.



Yes, but the wind was strong there.

7.



You landed safely.



Yes, but the FDR showed only 240 kg after engine shutdown.

8.



So the fuel was OK after all?



Enough to land, yes. **But it wasn't enough for a normal reserve.**

2.2. Контраргумент: because / so

1.



Why don't you agree?



Because we didn't have a normal reserve.

2.



Why wasn't the fuel situation normal?



Because we went around three times.

3.



What did the numbers show?



We needed about 2,800 kg, but we had only about 2,100, **so we didn't have enough** to arrive with the final reserve.

4.



Why did you declare a fuel Mayday?



Because we didn't have enough fuel to arrive with the final reserve.

5.



Company procedures didn't allow a third approach at Prestwick.



So we requested another airport.

6.



What did the AAIB find about Manchester?



Manchester had space, **so the refusal was wrong.**

7.



So the extra fuel didn't help?



It helped, but **we used it on three go-arounds.**

3.1. Попросить обоснование: Why do you think...?

1.



Some pilots think the extra fuel was too little.



Why do they think so?



They don't know about the landing-mass limits.

2.



I think the second approach was a risk.



Why do you think it was a risk?



Because of the 25 kt loss on short final.

3.



I think Edinburgh was the right choice.



Why do you think it was the right choice?



We had enough fuel to reach it.

4.



Some people think Manchester was full.



Why do they think Manchester was full?



Because ATC said no.

5.



I don't think another approach at Edinburgh was safe.



Why do you think it wasn't safe?



It could use too much fuel.

6.



Some pilots believe the Mayday was late.



Why do they believe that?



They don't know the figures.

7.



I think the company procedures helped us.



Why do you think the procedures helped?



They stopped a third approach at Prestwick.

8.



I think the refusal was the main problem.



Why do you think it was the main problem?



The AAIB report says so.

3.2. Основание, согласие, признание правоты

1.



Some pilots think the crew had a normal reserve.



What is the reason for that conclusion?

2.



We landed with only 363 kilograms.



You're right. It wasn't a normal reserve.

3.



ATC said no, but the AAIB found that Manchester had space.



You're right. The refusal was wrong.

4.



So the weather wasn't the only problem.



I agree with that. The communication at the airport was the main problem.

5.



The extra fuel helped, but it wasn't enough for three go-arounds.



I agree with you about the fuel.

6.



What is the reason for your Mayday decision?



We didn't have enough fuel to arrive at Manchester with the final reserve.

7.



So reaching Manchester isn't the same as landing with the final reserve?



Right. They are different things.